

Fig. 2. Edge view of the molecule projected along [100].
with the uranium atom lying $0.94 \AA$ out of the plane. (This is much further than would have been predicted from any salen complexes.) The other nine atoms, $N(2), O(3)$, and $C(3)$ to (9), show a mean deviation of $0.05 \AA$ from their least-squares plane, but this figure contains rather large contributions from $\mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(3)$, which are twisted from this plane by being shared with the pentagonal coordination plane of the uranium.

The large dihedral angle ( $31.0^{\circ}$ ) between the salicylideneimine plane and the coordination plane is possibly another sign of strain in this molecule, as the values for salen complexes rarely exceed $15^{\circ}$, except in highly strained systems such as Cosalen benzoylacetonate (Bailey et al., 1972). The two salicylideneimine planes of the molecule meet at a dihedral angle of $59.1^{\circ}$ and each is at $60.5^{\circ}$ to the mirror plane. So these three planes intersect one another (at almost exactly $60^{\circ}$ ) in a common line, which is nearly parallel to the direction of the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ bond, as can be seen from the edge-on view of the molecule in Fig. 2.

We are grateful to the Government of Pakistan for a scholarship awarded to one of us (M.N.A.).
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#### Abstract

A three-dimensional structural analysis and an infrared study of an ammine isocyanuric acid copper complex are reported. The complex, which has the formula $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, crystallizes in space group $P \overline{1}$, with unit-cell dimensions $a=7 \cdot 03, b=9 \cdot 05, c=6 \cdot 91 \AA, \alpha=42 \cdot 19^{\circ}, \beta=90 \cdot 39^{\circ}, \gamma=$ $95.56^{\circ}$. Least-squares refinement led to a final agreement index of $10 \%$ on 837 reflexions. The complex consists of ammine groups and isocyanuric acid rings, bonded through nitrogen to copper atoms, forming square-planar arrangements cross-linked by hydrogen bonds. Some infrared bands are assigned by comparison of the spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ with the following: $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, the deuterated analogues, cyanuric acid and diammine isocyanurato complexes of other metals.


## Introduction

Work in this laboratory (Taylor, 1972) has shown that some metal oxides and salts react directly with heated
urea to form cyanurato complexes which have received little attention from chemists during the last 50 years, and have not yet been structurally defined.

Cyanurato complexes of copper were originally re-
ported by Wöhler (1847) and by Wiedermann (1848). Further work on the copper complexes was carried out by Claus \& Putensen (1888) who also prepared related compounds of $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Mn}$ and Mg .

The crystal structure of bis(isocyanurato)diamminecopper(II) was investigated as part of work in this laboratory concerned with metal cyanurates and their agricultural use, e.g. as sources of slowly available trace elements in soils.

## Experimental

The crystals for X-ray analysis were supplied by Mr R. M. Taylor of these laboratories. For the remainder of the work described, crystals of identical structure
and composition were prepared as follows: an aqueous solution of boiling cyanuric acid, in slight excess of that required by the formula, was allowed to react with cupric chloride. The copper complex was precipitated with ammonia then recrystallized from a hot, dilute ammonia solution by standing. The crystals of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ are lavender-pink and typically triclinic pinacoidal.

To assist infrared assignments the equivalent diaquo complex, $\left(\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, was prepared as above except that instead of ammonia, the stoichiometric quantity of sodium hydroxide was used. Deep blue crystals separated on cooling. Deuterated analogues of the diammine and diaquo complexes were prepared using $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ throughout those preparations.

Table 1. Comparison of observed and calculated structure factors
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## Crystal data

Bis(isocyanurato)diamminecopper(II)
$\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ], M.W. $353 \cdot 74$
Crystal class: triclinic
$a=9.051 \pm 0.015, b=13.006 \pm 0.019, c=5.018 \pm 0.008 \AA$
$\alpha=91 \cdot 36 \pm 0 \cdot 18, \beta=82 \cdot 69 \pm 0 \cdot 19, \gamma=93.23 \pm 0 \cdot 18^{\circ}$
Unit-cell volume $U=584.9 \pm 1 \cdot 6 \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{m}=1.97$
(determined by the flotation method),
$D_{c}=1.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=334$
(excluding hydrogens).
$\mu=50.43 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Co $K \alpha$ ).
The systematic absences are: $h k l$ absent when $k+l$ is odd, which indicates that the cell described above is centred upon the (100) face. The space group is therefore $A 1$ or $A \bar{T}$.

Although the cell described above was used for convenience in the present study, the following parameters describe a primitive cell derived from it.
$a=7.026 \pm 0.014, b=9.051 \pm 0.015, c=6.914 \pm 0.010 \AA$
$\alpha=42 \cdot 19 \pm 0 \cdot 17, \beta=90 \cdot 39 \pm 0 \cdot 18, \gamma=95 \cdot 56 \pm 0 \cdot 17^{\circ}$
The three-dimensional intensity data were recorded with iron-filtered Co $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.7889 \AA$ ), by means of Weissenberg photographs, with the multiple exposure technique and integration processes. Two crystals were used; on the first, four layers with [001] as rotation axis ( $l=0$ to 3 ) were taken, while on the second, three layers with [100] as rotation axis ( $h=0$ to 2 ) were measured. 933 reflexions were measured with a Zeiss microdensitometer and processed with a a program written by one of us (E.W.R.). Corrections were made for Lorentz and polarization factors. No absorption corrections were applied in view of the small sizes of specimens used for the analysis.


Fig. 1. Infrared absorption spectra of $\left.(a)\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, shown as - and its deuterated equivalent as $\cdots \cdots$ and (b) $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, shown as - and its deuterated cquivalent as …...

## Infrared spectra

Spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 521 spectrophotometer using potassium bromide pellets, Nujol mulls and attenuated total reflectance. For studies with polarization, using a gold wire grid analyser in the common beam, thin sections of the largest single crystals were cut to give maximum area $(0.45 \times 0.3$ by 0.05 mm thickness) and were positioned in a multi-reflectance beam condenser with the light beam along the $b$ axis. Measurements at $15^{\circ}$ intervals through $180^{\circ}$ gave absorption maxima for each band. The molecular orientation in the sections was established by X-ray diffraction.

Bands were assigned by comparison of the spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ with the spectra of the following: $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, the deuterated analogues, cyanuric acid, and the isocyanuratodiammine complexes of other metals. Angles of polarization for absorption maxima of the copper complex were also used (with due caution, since resultant dipole moment vibrations may not necessarily coincide with bond directions).

## Determination of the structure

The structure was determined by the heavy-atom method. The chemical formula suggested that the basic structural units were cyanuric acid groups arranged with two per copper atom. With $Z=2$, and in the centred triclinic cell chosen, phases were assigned to the observed structure factors for a three-dimensional Fourier synthesis by placing the copper atoms at $0,0,0$, and $0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$. A three-dimensional Fourier synthesis was then calculated. Images of all the atoms of the structure were clearly resolved and no additional peaks were found. Although the Fourier map phased upon the copper atoms had to be centrosymmetrical, deviations from true centrosymmetry on the part of the molecule could have produced distorted peaks if the deviations were small. No such deviations were noticed. After two cycles of least-square refinement of scale factors and positional parameters respectively, an $R$ value for all reflexions of 0.20 resulted.

## Refinement

The least-squares cycles mentioned above were based upon space group $A \overline{1}$. A third cycle of refinement of positional parameters in $A 1$ returned values somewhat different to those previously calculated. However, an attempt to vary individual temperature and positional parameters in $A 1$ produced shifts which were quite meaningless. This result accords with a conclusion reached by Parthasarthy, Sime \& Speakman (1969) in which they comment that when in doubt about a space group it is not necessarily safe to use that of lower symmetry for refinement.

The space group $A \overline{1}$ seems to have been confirmed by a fourth refinement cycle, in that space group, of all temperature factors and positional parameters. This cycle produced a further convergence of $R$ to 0.15 and
returned reasonable values for the temperature factors. The reliability index was further reduced initially by applying Cruickshank's weighting scheme, followed by rejection facilities introduced into the $O R F L S$ program of Busing, Martin \& Levy (1962). The first of these rejection features deleted observations with $\left[\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left|F_{c}\right|\right) / \sigma\left|F_{o}\right|\right]$ greater than 0.25 and the second rejected reflexions whose $(\sin \theta / \lambda)^{2}$ was greater than 0.28 . The $10 \%$ of reflexions rejected by these schemes were reviewed one by one, and their deletion found to be justified by poor measurements, extinction and high backgrounds due to white radiation. The final $R$ value obtained was 0.10 on 837 reflexions. Anisotropic temperature factors were not applied.

The scattering factors for C (valence), $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ were taken from International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (1962).

The observed and calculated structure factors are compared in Table 1, which does not list those reflexions rejected by the weighting scheme and rejection options. Table 2 gives the final positional and temperature parameters with their standard deviations. The interatomic di tances and bond angles were calculated by the ORFFE program of Busing, Martin \& Levy (1964). Intramolecular distances and bond angles are reported in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. The closest

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and temperature factors, with standard deviations

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | $2.4(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.4495(14)$ | $0.4193(7)$ | $0.7357(24)$ | $2.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.1990(15)$ | $0.4364(7)$ | $0.5451(25)$ | $2.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.3513(15)$ | $0.320(7)$ | $0.3578(25)$ | $2.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.0834(14)$ | $0.6227(7)$ | $0.3290(24)$ | $2.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.2118(18)$ | $0.3648(9)$ | $0.3434(34)$ | $2.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.4644(18)$ | $0.3472(9)$ | $0.5334(31)$ | $2.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.3085(17)$ | $0.4624(9)$ | $0.7217(31)$ | $1.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.1126(14)$ | $0.3386(7)$ | $0.1718(23)$ | $3.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.5904(13)$ | $0.3113(7)$ | $0.5462(21)$ | $2.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $0.3037(12)$ | $0.5273(6)$ | $0.9106(21)$ | $2.7(2)$ |

intermolecular distances are reported in Table 5. All atoms designated by singly primed symbols are related by a centre of symmetry to their doubly primed equivalents.

Table 3. Bond distances, with their standard deviations

| $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.06(1) \AA$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu}{ }^{2+}-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.90(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.42(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.45(2)$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.43(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.43(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.27(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $4.21(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.93(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $3.78(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 4.00 |

Table 4. Bond angles, with standard deviations

| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $97^{\circ} 3^{\prime}\left(1^{\circ} 10^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 12541 (1 15) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 10530 (1 15) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 13044 (1 10) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 10440 (129) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 12741 (1 15) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 12738 (1 15) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 12345 (1 13) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 13141 (1 15) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 10434 (125) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 12936 (1-6) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 10129 (1 10) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 12850 (113) |

Table 5. Shortest intermolecular distances

| See also Fig. 3. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $3.27 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | 3.00 |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 16$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 66$ |



Fig. 2. The molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$.

## Infrared results

Although not identical in every respect, the cyanurato complexes with $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Mn}$ and Ni have common bands in the ranges shown in Table 6, which also gives the results for cyanuric acid.

Table 6. Infrared absorption spectra*
Cyanuric acid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3415 m, 3200 s, 3046 s, 2875 w, 2824 w, \\
& 1775 \mathrm{sh}, 1751 \text { sh, } 1716 \mathrm{c} s, 1693 \mathrm{vs}, 1455 \mathrm{~m} \text {, } \\
& 1414 m, 1397 \mathrm{~s}, 1059 \mathrm{~m}, 1049 \mathrm{~m}, 842 \mathrm{~m} \text {, } \\
& 792 m, 761 w, 741 w, \quad 690 m, 546 s h, 532 s, \\
& 447 m, 412 m
\end{aligned}
$$

Metal complexes

| $2840-2800$, | $1730-1700$, | $1480-1447$, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1427-1407$, | $1385-1350$, | $1160-1120$, |
| $1090-1050$, | $1000-970$, | $870-820$, |
| $785-760$, | $708-680$, | $615-583$, |
| $557-530$, | $437-420$. |  |

* All bands in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. $s=$ strong, $m=$ medium, $w=$ weak, sh $=$ shoulder.

Infrared absorption bands for
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and its deuterated analogue are given in Table 7 along with the angles at which maximum adsorption occurred on polarization. These angles are given for an incident beam in the +b direction and with $0^{\circ}$ close to the a direction as shown in Fig. 2. The spectra of the compounds in Table 7 are
compared with those for the aquo complex and its analogue in Fig. 1.

## Discussion

The basic structural units consist of two isocyanuric acid rings and two ammonia groups bound each through nitrogen atoms to a central copper atom to form an approximately square-planar arrangement. The ammonia groups lie approximately $13.5^{\circ}$ away from the normals to the rings. The configuration is illustrated in Fig. 2. Cross-linking between these molecular units is very likely achieved by hydrogen bonds discussed below and indicated in Fig. 3.

## Hydrogen bonding

Although no attempt was made to directly determine hydrogen positions from the Fourier maps, the presence of at least four $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds can be inferred from a consideration of the infrared $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency region and interatomic distances.

In this region five major bands are found for $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ : doublets at 3346, 3003, and $2820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and single bands at 3265 and $3176 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. All bands show deuteration shifts. For $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ bands occurred at 3180, 3100 and $3040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and all shifted on deuteration.

These bands have been assigned to the following NH groups. To $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}$ is assigned $3176 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ since it is present in both complexes; this is in agreement with the assignment of 3180 to the 'free imide', -NH-


Fig. 3. View near [010] showing the arrangement of molecular units and the network of hydrogen bonds that link them.
of the nitrogen bonded biuret complexes of Cu (II) by McLellan \& Melson (1967) and also of 3220 and $3210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by Aida, Musya \& Kinumaki (1963) and Kedzia, Armendarez \& Nakamato (1968) respectively. To $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}$ is assigned the doublet at $2820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. To $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{H}$ is assigned the doublet at 3346 and also the single band at $3265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ because both are absent in the aquo complex. This agrees with the frequencies given for the amide groups coordinated with copper in the above mentioned biuret complexes and 3270 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ given by Nakamoto (1963) for the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups of the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ tetra-ammine chloro complex.

A form of the Lennard-Jones potential function:

$$
\Delta v_{s}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)=50\left[\left(\frac{3 \cdot 4}{D}\right)^{12}-\left(\frac{3 \cdot 4}{D}\right)^{6}\right]
$$

has been successfully used by Bellamy \& Owen (1969) to correlate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bond lengths ( $D$ in $\AA$ ) with shifts in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency ( $\Delta v_{s}$ ), assuming a 'free' NH stretching frequency of $3450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

This equation predicts the bond lengths shown in Table 8. Bellamy \& Owen's equation has known deficiencies (Nakamoto, Margoshes \& Rundle, 1955). In spite of these, the agreement between the bond lengths which it predicts and those determined by X-rays is good; the bond at $3.02 \AA$ shows the greatest deviation but this is within the limits of scatter of experimental points about Bellamy \& Owen's curve (see also Nakamoto, Margoshes \& Rundle, 1955).

Table 8. Bond lengths predicted from infrared measurement and found by $X$-rays

| Bond | Frequency | Length |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Predicted | Found |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ | $3346 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ | $3.02 \AA$ | $3.16 \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ | 3330 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \dagger$ | 3265 | 2.92 | 2.93 |
| $\mathrm{~N}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}$ | 2810 | 2.68 | 2.66 |
|  |  |  |  |
| * Intermolecular bond. |  |  |  |
|  | $\dagger$ Intramolecular bond. |  |  |

Table 7. Infrared absorption bands of bis(isocyanurato)diamminecopper(II) and its deuterated analogue, angles of polarization with respect to zero as in Fig. 2 and main assignments

| Copper complex $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} \dagger$ | Polarization angle | Deuterated analogue $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Assignment $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3346 | 10 | $2496 s$ | $\nu \mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right)-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ (intermolecular) |
| 3330vs | -80 | 2482s | $\nu \mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
| 3265w | 90 | 2414w | $\nu \mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ (intramolecular) |
| $3176 s$ | 80 | $2305 s$ | $\nu \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}$ (imide) |
| $3003 v s$ | -60 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 2260 w \\ 2228 m \end{array}\right\}$ | $\nu \mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ unsymmetrical (intermolecular) |
| 2847sh | 60 -45 | 2160sh ${ }_{2} 120{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $\nu \mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ symmetrical (intermolecular) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { li34vs } \\ & 1678 v s \end{aligned}$ | 0* | 1724 s 1176 m | $\nu \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ (of cyanuric acid) <br> $v_{s v} \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)+\delta \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}$ (hydrogen bonded) |
| 16310s |  | 16500 s | $\nu_{a} \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ |
| 1608vs |  | 1605 vs | $\nu \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ due to partial enolization |
| $1482 s$ | 50 | 1482vs | $v \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| $1453 s$ | 0 | 1046w | $\delta \mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{H}$ (hydrogen bonded) |
| $1425 s$ | 70 | 1429 vs | $\nu \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ broadens on deuteration (shorter ring bonds) |
| 1382vs | 0 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}981 w \\ 966 s\end{array}\right\}$ | $\delta \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1256sh } \\ & 1252 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | -85 | 1257m 124 s ( | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ |
|  | -85 | $1241 s$ $911 w$ ( |  |
| 1096m | 50 |  | $v$ ring in aquo complex, absent on deuteration |
| $1006 w$ | -60 | 981 w | $\pi \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{H}$ (hydrogen bonded) |
| $865 w$ | 90 | $668 m$ \} |  |
| 823 m | 90 | $625 m$ \} | $\varrho_{r} \mathrm{~N}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}$ absent in aquo complex |
| 780 s | 80 | $778 s$ | $\delta \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ in plane of ring (raised by hydrogen bond) |
| $754 w$ |  | $756 m$ | $\delta \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ in plane of ring |
| $738 m$ $711 w$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}-50 \\ -50\end{array}\right\}$ | 546vs | $\pi \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}$ |
| 692 m | 50 | $679 w$ | $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ |
| 688 m | 50 |  |  |
| $590 s$ | -10 | 585s | $\pi \mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ |
| 554 s | 60 | $546 v s$ | $\pi \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ |
| $454 m$ | 60 | 452 | $\nu^{\mathrm{Cu}} \mathrm{-N}($ ammine $) \quad$ ? with ring deformation |
| $431 m$ | 20 | $425 m$ | $\nu \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ (ring) $\quad$ ? with ring deformation |
| 291w | 55 | $289 w$ | $\delta \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ |

[^0]The strong band at $3003 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ has been assigned to an unsymmetric $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{H}$ stretch associated with ring linkages.

The shortest hydrogen bonds, of length $2 \cdot 66 \AA$, which link $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ are instrumental in bonding the molecular units together to form chains. These extend across unit cells, through the copper atoms at origins and points of face centring. The remaining two intermolecular $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds crosslink these chains to form a three-dimensional network.

The effect of the intramolecular $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ bond will be discussed later.

## The ligand molecules

Cyanuric acid has recently been carefully studied by Verschoor \& Keulen (1971) and by Coppens \& Vos (1971). In the present structure, coordination to copper has had a considerable effect on the dimensions of the cyanuric acid molecule. However, the coordinated rings in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ remain planar, as shown by a calculation of the dihedral angle between planes defined by three carbon atoms and three nitrogen atoms within such a ring; the dihedral angle did not significantly differ from zero.

The various bonds of the structure are now discussed and their infrared assignments suggested.

The relatively short C(3)-O(3) bond of length $1.25 \AA$ ( $1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) is comparable with similar bonds in cyanuric acid. By contrast, the long $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ bond of length $1.35 \AA\left(1482 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ appears, from the comprehensive empirical correlations of Stals (1970), to have a $30 \%$ partial double-bond character.

The $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ bonds, associated with those hydrogen bonds forming ring linkages have a length of 1.27 $\AA$ and give rise to a number of frequencies as found for example in the $\beta$-diketones (Colthup, Daly \& Wiberley, 1964). Thus to $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ are assigned 1678 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (symmetrical stretch) and 1631 (asymmetrical stretch). These combine to form a single band at 1650 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ on deuteration.

Of the six $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds in each ring, four have lengths between 1.42 and $1.45 \AA$; these are normal lengths for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ single bonds, but are longer than those in cyanuric acid and the analogous compounds listed by Verschoor \& Keulen (1971). The other two, C(1)$N(2)$ and $C(2)-N(1)$, have lengths ( $1 \cdot 36-1 \cdot 37 \AA$ ) similar to those in cyanuric acid, and possess an appreciable double bond character.

As discussed for example by Cutmore \& Hallam (1969) and Hallam (1969), the grouping of $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$
 the interacting dipole $>\mathrm{C}=0$ being of opposite polar-$\delta-\delta+$ ity to $>\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$. The latter group may have another atom $R$ instead of hydrogen to give $>\mathrm{N}-R$. It is suggested that such a delocalization is the cause for the two
short $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds. Partial enolization of the group containing $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ is suggested by the absorption bond at $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, see Table 7. Delocalization is supported by the known electron-withdrawing power of the copper and the long $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ bond already discussed.

## Environment of copper atom

The copper atom is bonded to four nitrogen atoms, two lying at $1.90 \AA$ and two at $2.06 \AA$. It is clear from the results of Sacconi, Sabatini \& Gans (1964) on metal ammine complexes that the infrared absorption band at $431 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is associated with a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ (ring) stretch. Because the band at $454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is absent in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$ it is assigned to $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{am}-$ mine) stretch. Two less intense bands occur at about these frequencies in cyanuric acid so that these two bands may be coupled with ring bending modes. A band frequently observed at $291 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ deformation.

The $\mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right)$ angle is $82^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$ in the present structure. This compares with $82^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ in
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ (Tewari \& Srivastava, 1971); $83^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Sugihara, Ashida, Sadada \& Kakudo, 1968) and $90^{\circ}$ in
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{en}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{Br}\right] \mathrm{Br}$ (Mazzi, 1953). A calculation of the angle between the normals to the planes defined by $\mathrm{N}\left(4^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{N}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ returned a value of $76^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \pm 36^{\prime}$, so that the ammonia groups lie approximately $13^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ away from the normals to the rings.

The room temperature magnetic moment of the complex was 1.87 B.M. This is a typical value for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ with a $d^{9}$ electronic configuration and accords well with the result of $1.88 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{M}$. found by McLellan \& Melson (1967) for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ surrounded by four nitrogen atoms from two biuret ligands.

In summary the structure of bis(isocyanurato)diamminecopper(II) consists of two iso-cyanuric acid rings and two ammonia groups each bound through nitrogen atoms to a central copper atom. Cross linking between molecular units is achieved by hydrogen bonds.

The assistance of Mr L. Janik with the infrared work and Mr P. Stone with the X-ray diffraction measurements is gratefully acknowledged.
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#### Abstract

Roesslerite, magnesium hydrogen arsenate heptahydrate ( $\mathrm{MgHAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group $C 2 / c$. Unit-cell parameters are: $a_{0}=6.6918$ (5), $b_{0}=25.744$ (2), $c_{0}=11.538$ (1) $\AA$, $\beta=95 \cdot 15(1)^{\circ} ; Z=8$. The crystal structure was refined anisotropically to $R=0.030$, using 2157 non-zero reflexions measured on an automatic three-circle diffractometer ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation). Corrections for absorption, secondary extinction (including an increase of the mosaicity during the measurements) and anomalous scattering were applied. The hydrogen atoms were located from difference maps and the acidic hydrogen atom appears to be involved in two symmetrical hydrogen bonds. The two crystallographically independent magnesium atoms are coordinated by six water molecules; the seventh water molecule is linked by hydrogen bonds only. No oxygen atoms are shared by coordination polyhedra and the crystal structure can be described in terms of layers parallel to $(010)$ or to (001).


## Introduction

Roesslerite, magnesium hydrogen arsenate heptahydrate $\left(\mathrm{MgHAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, is a secondary mineral usually associated with other arsenates (Palache, Berman \& Frondel, 1963) and, according to its known properties, is isostructural with $\mathrm{MgHPO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, phosphoroesslerite.

An X-ray crystal-structure determination of roesslerite has been carried out as a part of a programme of structural research on hydrogen bonding and on the role of the water molecule in the crystalline state [ $c f$. Ferraris \& Franchini-Angela (1972), Chiari \& Ferraris (1971) and references therein]; in particular, acidic arsenates (or phosphates) are often likely to have symmetrical hydrogen bonds [cf. Ferraris, Jones \& Yerkess (1972) and references therein].

[^1]
## Preparation and crystal data

Transparent, colourless crystals of $\mathrm{MgHAsO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, suitable for X -ray study, were grown by the method of Mattrat \& Guérin (1960). Cu K $\alpha$ X-ray diffraction analyses (Weissenberg and single-crystal diffractometry) confirmed the monoclinic symmetry (Palache et al., 1963) and, to a first approximation, the unit-cell parameters reported by Fischer (1964); the crystals are tabular $\{010\}$ and elongated along [100]. The space group $C 2 / c\left(C_{2 h}^{6}\right.$, No. 15) has been chosen on the basis of systematic absences ( $C c$ or $C 2 / c$ ), the absence of a piezoelectric effect,* and the examination of the Harker sections; the successful refinement of the structure confirmed the validity of this choice.

The reciprocal unit-cell parameters were refined by a least-squares procedure using $36 \theta$ values greater than $65^{\circ}$, which were measured at room temperature on a single-crystal diffractometer $\left(\lambda \alpha_{1}=1 \cdot 54050, \lambda \alpha_{2}=\right.$

[^2]
[^0]:    * Region of intense absorption; common angle appears to be at $0^{\circ}$.
    $\dagger$ Abbreviations: $v$, very; $s$, strong; $m$, medium; $w$, weak; sh, shoulder; $v$, stretch; $\delta$, deformation; $\varrho_{r}$, rock; $\pi$, out of plane bend; a, asymmetric; sy, symmetric.

[^1]:    * Part of a paper presented at the 9 th International Congress of Crystallography, 26 August-7 September 1972, Kyoto, Japan. Research supported by the Italian Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

[^2]:    * We are grateful to Professor Dr W. G. Perdok for measurements of the piezoelectric effect.

